

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	REFERENCE	QUESTION 1	QUESTION 2	QUESTION 3	QUESTION 4	QUESTION 5	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
2							
3	F1	yes	St Agnes - Yes; Mithian - Yes; Porthtowan - Yes; Mount Hawke - Yes; Blackwater - Yes.	All holiday accomodation	St Agnes - Yes; Mithian - Yes; Porthtowan - Yes; Mount Hawke - Yes; Blackwater - Yes.	no response	
4	F2	yes - Though I don't support the proposed settlement boundaries suggested - should allow for slow steady growth for 1-5 houses	Present settlement areas in St Agnes and Porthtowan increases the fragmentation of the village and loss of centre. Blackwater and Porthtowan share boundaries with other Parishes causing more problems	Mount Rose, Towan Cross, Mithian, Chapel Porth - All sprawling expanding areas that run along the roads with no infrastructure to support.	St Agnes - No; Mithian - Yes; Porthtowan - No (should foster growth inwards - SSSI permitting ie not destroy the village); Mount Hawke - No (should include Chychin); Blackwater - No (should include Omega etc). Further comments on question 4 with specific reference to Porthtowan - the boundary in Porthtowan doesn't follow a wall in the land of Barn Elms. Doesn't include many developments along Rosehill, Echo Corner, Seaspray, Mile hill etc. Has no proposed plan for development of a village, a centre and therefore the relevant infrastructure can not follow.		My comments other than the boundary in Barn Elms is based on my time running the village hall, where I undertook a leadlet drop to the whole village to promote the hall. To find the majority had no awareness the village had a hall, where it was. Also East Cliff and Atlantic Way mainly went to St Agnes/ Mount Hawke instead of the village and Chapel Hill area went to Redruth. Allowing Portreath to build large affordable housing areas with no walkway to the village centre just increases the fragmentation.
5	F3	No (1) too tightly drawn and don't allow for gentle growth. (2) Mitigate against building of affordable houses because a) builder has to build 10 + houses to achieve SAF b) too tight a restriction pushes up the cost of building plots. (3) no account has been taken of demand for wanting to self build, need for relatives returning to look after elderly parents.	St Agnes has severe drainage problems and has begun to develop greenfield sites destroying landscape and unbalancing the village. Mount Hawke has a good centre and good bus connection. Porthtowan needs small scale development to accommodate elderly disabled carers and returning children retiring but need to look after parents. There is need to work with Portreath as people understand settlement boundaries applying to ALL OF PORTHTOWAN not 6 + hamlets inside the village boundary.	Houses at Echo Corner and along flanks of Rose Hill adjacent to tourist lodges. The exclusion of tourist industries is a nonsense as they form to affect the built landscape, thus the attractiveness of the village.	St Agnes - no response; Mithian - no response; Porthtowan - No; Mount Hawke - no response; Blackwater - no response. In Porthtowan settlement boundaries destroy the village. In between areas are already affected by being SSSI or mining. Building on areas affected by mining waste is expensive so unstaible for affordable housing which is of necessity low cost to build. Better quality architect energy efficient houses are needed.	AF housing at the top of Chapel Hill has not sold after 1 year despite construction start up about 2 1/2 years ago. Demand is therefore less than stated. Transport is expensive and bus services inadequate. Porthtowan has 730 households and quite a few affordable houses. Not enough rented accommodation at reasonable rent.	
6							
7	SM1	I don't understand	I can't answer as I don't understand				
8	SM2					We would welcome a discussion with the Neighbourhood Development Plan team about the potential for infill/rounding off in Towan Cross/Mongoose Vale if it were judged appropriate as a settlement area.	
9	SM3					As per comments ref Wheal Rose consideration as a sustainable development as per the Planning Inspector's comments and judgement	
10	SM4					I have land at Wheal Rose that I consider to fall within the definition of infill/ rounding off and I would like this to be considered.	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	REFERENCE	QUESTION 1	QUESTION 2	QUESTION 3	QUESTION 4	QUESTION 5	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
11	SM5						Please, more available services in villages and not a huge amount of housing that will totally turn the character of a community upside down. Boundaries are necessary, but limit the infill to keep the village feel with at least a few gardens to look at !
12	SM6						
13	SM7						When Statutes are not adhered to anyhow, I don't see why a survey like this will make any difference. I hope again to be proved wrong. The plan should be renamed The Neighborhood Sustainability Plan. Thank you.
14	SM8						None
15	SM9						No
16	SM10						It is the constant "nibbling away" of settlement boundaries, open land being annexed, domestic gardens extending into previous open land that is the problem in villages. Village envelopes have never been upheld why will this NDP be different
17	SM11						I believe the NDP group have done a comprehensive job. Well done
18	SM12						It is essential that we have settlement boundaries and planning officers need to abide by the communities wishes. It seems the sensible way forward. Allocations could be very difficult politically.
19	SM13						So pleased we have the plan and well done to all who work so hard on our behalf...thank you.
20	SM14						Not at this stage
21	SM15						Grow and Prosper.
22	SM16						Concerned that there will be lots of exception sites.
23	SM17						Hope this helps to now restrict more over development. Its vital.
24	SM18						developments should be as far from the coast as possible to protect the area
25	SM19						None
26	SM20						None
27	SM21						No

1	A REFERENCE	B QUESTION 1	C QUESTION 2	D QUESTION 3	E QUESTION 4	F QUESTION 5	G ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
				Yes. We believe that Towan Cross should be included due to recent planning approvals and we feel there are appropriate pockets of land within this area suitable for infill or rounding off. The settlement is already defined by a public bus route from Porthtowan and the pub (Victory Inn) which is a nucleus for the local community. It is served by both primary school buses for Mount Hawke Academy and senior school buses to Richard Lander. All of the above create a small community feel which would be enhanced by limited additional settlement. A pedestrian footpath/pavement along one side of the main road would greatly support this community providing safe access for locals visiting each other, accessing the wider footpath network and schoolchildren/parents wishing to get to the bus stop/pub and/or Banns road to walk/cycle to Mount Hawke school.			
28	SM22			Any area that is suitable for building new housing or converting old buildings, should be used as this country cannot meet the increasing demand for housing.			
29	SM23			Will there be a slow development around Chiverton? If so must be well managed.			
30	SM24			I feel that Wheal Rose (sits outside of Mount Hawke) should be included as a specific settlement. It is outside of the 'holiday home' environment and provides dwellings for permanent residents. 30 June 2016 reference APP/D0840/W/16/3144526 - the Planning Inspector at paragraph 6 states that "Wheal Rose would afford opportunities to meet core basic needs locally or by suitable means." There is a bus route for Redruth, local shops (Etheringtons and Prima), employment opportunities within approx 1 mile at Etheringtons, Roddas, Dales, Conway Bailey, Dodd Electrical and Mitchell and Webber, Wheal Rose Caravan Park, Cornwall Council (Highways dept), Crossroads residential home and Penrose Caravans			
31	SM25			No as the housing in my area has/is increasing dramatically however services have not, in fact decreased			
32	SM26			Wheal Rose. There has been a number planning consents for new dwellings in the recent past and an allowed appeal in 2016.			
33	SM27			As before, I believe we should build small new communities, with their own services and shops. That's how all these villages started. Keep our villages, villages with character.			
34	SM28			This doesn't seem to be a sustainable way to maintain a community. It is not helping anyone except the developers.			
35	SM29			None			
36	SM30			No, we should retain the current form and spacial sense of the Parish, any other development area would only diminish this.			
37	SM31			My main concern is that we do not allow our beautiful historic village to be turned into a new town.			
38	SM32			I don't think there is any need for a new "eco style" settlement development within the Parish...after all...where it go?			
39	SM33			No I don't believe it should be increased			
40	SM34			No			
41	SM35			No we need to remember people like st Agnes as it is a village if we continue to grow we lose what people wanted to live here for .			
42	SM36			Quay road etc are sustainable			
43	SM37			Chiverton cross. Excellent transport access.			
44	SM38			The roads around Michigan and St Agnes cannot support much more housing/people. The school/ Doctor 's surgery/ nhs dentist/ school are all over subscribed. I feel these villages are at capacity.			
45	SM39			There are obvious gaps showing not included			
46	SM40			n/a			
47	SM41			No I don't think they should be.			
48	SM42			inland areas offer better transport and flexibility			
49	SM43						

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	REFERENCE	QUESTION 1	QUESTION 2	QUESTION 3	QUESTION 4	QUESTION 5	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
50	SM44	consideration to be given to sympathetic use of adjoining land fields rather than jamming more houses into small spaces causing more issues with parking and traffic. Better to spread out somewhat - with bigger gardens people less likely to need to travel (by car) to other places with space					
51	SM45	However, too much infill destroys the character of our villages. In my view, it would be better to build a NEW village from scratch rather than flooding the existing villages with new housing. Also the existing infrastructure such as schools, Doctors, Sewerage, Shops .. cannot cope. Build a 'new town' instead of covering the land with solar panels, then put the panels on the roofs.					
52	SM46	Yes, but I am not convinced that boundaries will be conformed with.					
53	SM47	They must be adhered to					
54	SM48	This is essential to stop the village sprawling and overdeveloping the St Agnes into a town					
55	SM49	So pleased about this as there has been a tendency in the past to misuse the infill policy .					
56	SM50	Difficult...on the one hand your damned if you do and damned if you don't support.					
57	SM51	I disagree because of where the boundaries are drawn					
58	SM52		We suggest that the boundaries are extended or additional small settlement boundaries should be created outside the main 5 settlements.				
59	SM53		Wheal Rose also				
60	SM54		I think there is too much infill spoiling our village green spaces, Stop the solar panels and build small communities with panels on the roofs.				
61	SM55		But housing NEEDS do not seem to be taken into account. How many developments undertaken in St Agnes in recent times are actually for locals ? How many are for private ownership for non locals?				
62	SM56		Need services for them too				
63	SM57		St Agnes settlement boundary should include the lower part of Quay Road.				
64	SM58		Great care needed to ensure that this is strictly adhered to.				

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	REFERENCE	QUESTION 1	QUESTION 2	QUESTION 3	QUESTION 4	QUESTION 5	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
65	SM59		Ditch the spin word sitanability and insert the twrm "keep alive" people will then understand what is being attempted.o				
66	SM60		You can't stop infill as it's on the local plan				
			Too much infill going on in st agnes but no extra infrastructure including road improvements.				
			Every extra house generates more car journeys and traffic problems.				
67	SM61						
			Unsure it's the correct policy only that tyre should be more affordable housing for locals				
68	SM62		Infill, small developments???				
69	SM63						
			field between wheal friendly and rocky lane downward should not be developed due to access and waste disposal				
70	SM64		issues as well as the detrimental effect on the look of the village				
					All three of the above could be extended to include Towan Cross which straddles Mount Hawke and St Agnes wards.		
71	SM65				Any area that is suitable for development should be used to supply the shortage of housing needs, otherwise we will		
					have hundreds of homeless in years to come, many more than at present.		
					As stated previously I feel that consideration should be given to Wheal Rose as a stand alone settlement		
72	SM66						
					no particular comment as interest is really in Wheal Rose		
73	SM67						
74	SM68						
					Infill is becoming too crowded, the price of land rockets which naturally eliminates the affordable housing market and		
					everyone tries to sell their greenhouse or garden as a building plot at extortionate prices.		
75	SM69				I am not going to agree or disagree. I have no faith in the council to defend the countryside. I hope to be proved wrong.		
76	SM70				Quay Road, as before.		
77	SM71						
					Increasing populations with the Parish, both natural and by inward migration, necessitates expansion, controlled yes by not by the rigidity of a "red Line". Case by case, merit by merit and without bias.		
					The draft settlement boundary shown does not provide sufficiently for development up to 2030.		
					We have to recognise that St. Agnes is a highly desirable residential area and will continue to attract ever more incomers. Development needs to reflect the needs of local and new populace.		
79	SM73				Allowing St. Agnes to grow enhances the viability of local business and culture.		
					Don't bother with boundaries. Leaves more to be described as exception sites which delivers more affordable housing		
80	SM74						
					Concerned about rounding off. In some cases it seems it is just extending St Agnes.		
81	SM75						
82	SM76						
83	SM77				Hardly any room for growth ! People need houses		
					Don't know		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	REFERENCE	QUESTION 1	QUESTION 2	QUESTION 3	QUESTION 4	QUESTION 5	ANY FURTHER COMMENTS
84	SM78				A lot to look at, so I can only at this time remark on St Agnes. The term "rounding off" is a worry, where is the start and end. I urge caution.		
85	SM79				Trevaunance doesn't seem to be included		
86	SM80				see previous		
87	EM1		No response to questions raised but specific site put forward - 'I have outlined the boundary of my property, as you can see the NDP runs half way though it.'				
88							
89	<b>Key</b>						
90	F = Comments submitted by form						
91	SM = Comments submitted by Survey Monkey						
92	EM = Comments submitted Email						
93							
94	<b>Full Questions Asked</b>						
95	Question 1	Do you support the inclusion of settlement boundaries to define where infill housing policies will apply?					
		Do you agree that the following settlements are the right places for infill development to meet housing need and to support the sustainability of our communities:					
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• St Agnes Yes No</li> <li>• Mithian Yes No</li> <li>• Porthtowan Yes No</li> <li>• Mt Hawke Yes No</li> <li>• Blackwater Yes No</li> </ul>					
96	Question 2						
97	Question 3	Do you think that additional settlements should be included, if so please say which settlements and explain why and describe how they would be sustainable i.e access to services such as schools, shops, employment, public transport etc.					
		Please look carefully at the proposed settlement boundaries, and bearing in mind the definitions of infill, small scale rounding off and the development of previously developed land within or adjoining settlements as outlined in the Housing Information pack					
		Do you agree with the boundaries?					
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• St Agnes Yes No</li> <li>• Mithian Yes No</li> <li>• Porthtowan Yes No</li> <li>• Mt Hawke Yes No</li> <li>• Blackwater Yes No</li> </ul>					
98	Question 4	If no – please explain where and why below. If you wish to make an objection and you do not wish to be identified please ask for a separate form.					
99	Question 5	Do you have any further comments to make on the settlement boundaries proposed in the Neighbourhood Development Plan?					
100		IF YOU WISH TO PROVIDE MORE DETAILED COMMENTS PLEASE USE THE EXTRA COMMENTS SHEET					